# Literature Review

## Speech Processing

Automated speech recognition (ASR) systems can be broken up into numerous categories based on the various criteria they meet. The criteria for systems usually consist of; speaker dependence, speech type, and recognition type.

### Speaker dependence

Speaker dependent systems are trained for use by a single speaker, building classification models based on the speaker’s unique acoustic-phonetic model. In contrast, speaker independent systems are designed for use by numerous speakers, including those who were not involved in the system training process.

Speaker independence is hard to achieve due to the feature parameterization becoming tuned to the training speaker(s), causing a speaker-specific bias in the classification [1]. Error rates for speaker independent systems tend to be 3 to 5 times larger than speaker dependent systems [2].

### Speech type

Speech can be broken into three distinct types; isolated, discontinuous, and continuous [1]. Isolated speech consists of singular words and is often considered word recognition. Discontinuous speech involves the speaker being purposefully articulate and inserting artificial pauses between consecutive words. In continuous speech (natural speech) the speaker makes no effort to alter their speech patterns.

Recognition for isolated and discontinuous speech is simpler due to the clearly defined word boundaries and distinct pronunciation. Continuous speech is much harder to process due to the undefined word boundaries in addition to corrupted pronunciation introduced by co-articulation, the slurring of speech sounds, which can cause phrases like “could you” to sound like “could jou” [1]. During a standard evaluation, isolated and continuous speech achieved error rates of 3.1% and 8.7% respectively [3].

### Recognition type

There are two main classifications for ASR systems; word recognition and phonetic recognition. Word recognition is desirable due to the human interpretable aspect of the transcription, that is, all results are complete words. However, the main disadvantage of word based ASR is that the error rates of the system are proportional to the vocabulary size. For small sets of words it is possible to obtain <1% error rates [4], whereas vocabulary sizes of 200, 5000, or 100000 could have approximate error rates of 3%, 7%, or 45% respectively [5-7]. Secondarily, the processing time for a word-based system is also proportional to the vocabulary size, making it impractical for most real-world applications.

Conversely, phonetic recognition is able to avoid both error rate and processing time inflation by breaking words down into their base components, phonemes. A phoneme can be defined as “the smallest contrastive linguistic unit which may bring about a change of meaning [of a word]” [8], which results in a classification base of approximately 42 phonemes for the English language (see Table 1‑1), with the drawback being that the transcription isn’t directly human readable (see Table 1‑2).

Table ‑: List of English Phonemes and Manners of Articulation [9]

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Phoneme** | **Manner of Articulation** | **Phoneme** | **Manner of Articulation** |
| iy | vowel | l | liquid |
| ih | vowel | r | liquid |
| ia | vowel | m | nasal |
| ey | vowel | n | nasal |
| eh | vowel | ng | nasal |
| ae | vowel | f | fricative |
| ea | vowel | v | fricative |
| aa | vowel | th | fricative |
| ao | vowel | dh | fricative |
| ow | vowel | s | fricative |
| uh | vowel | z | fricative |
| uw | vowel | sh | fricative |
| ua | vowel | zh | fricative |
| ah | vowel | hh | fricative |
| er | vowel | p | stop |
| ax | vowel | b | stop |
| ay | diphthong | t | stop |
| oy | diphthong | d | stop |
| oh | diphthong | k | stop |
| aw | diphthong | g | stop |
| y | glide | ch | affricate |
| w | glide | jh | affricate |

Table ‑: Example of the Phonetic Decomposition of Various Words/Phrases [9]

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Word/Phrase** | **Phonetic Decomposition** |
| call | k ao l |
| dial | d ay ax l |
| seven | s eh v n |
| recognise speech | r eh k ao g n ay z s p iy ch |
| wreck a nice beach | r eh k ay n ay s b iy ch |

## Acoustical Pre-Processing

## Feature Extraction

The two most important sections of speech characteristics are those contained in the spectral envelope (vocal tract characteristics) and those contained in the supra-segmental features (voice source characteristics) [10].

### Mel-frequency Cepstral Coefficients (MFCC)

## Classification Techniques

### Hidden Markov Models

### Artificial Neural Networks

### Dynamic Time Warping

## Robust speech recognition

## Auditory data

# References

[1] J. Tebelskis, "Speech recognition using neural networks," Carnegie Mellon University, 1995.

[2] K.-F. Lee, "On large-vocabulary speaker-independent continuous speech recognition," *Speech Communication,* vol. 7, pp. 375-379, June 21 1988.

[3] L. Bahl, R. Bakis, P. Cohen, A. Cole, F. Jelinek, B. Lewis*, et al.*, "Speech recognition of a natural text read as isolated words," in *Acoustics, Speech, and Signal Processing, IEEE International Conference on ICASSP '81.*, 1981, pp. 1168-1171.

[4] G. R. Doddington, "Phonetically sensitive discriminants for improved speech recognition," in *Acoustics, Speech, and Signal Processing, 1989. ICASSP-89., 1989 International Conference on*, 1989, pp. 556-559.

[5] F. Itakura, "Minimum prediction residual principle applied to speech recognition," *Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing, IEEE Transactions on,* vol. 23, pp. 67-72, 1975.

[6] M. Miyatake, H. Sawai, Y. Minami, and K. Shikano, "Integrated training for spotting japanese phonemes using large phonemic time-delay neural networks," in *Acoustics, Speech, and Signal Processing, 1990. ICASSP-90., 1990 International Conference on*, 1990, pp. 449-452.

[7] S. Kimura, "100000-word recognition using acoustic-segment networks," in *Acoustics, Speech, and Signal Processing, 1990. ICASSP-90., 1990 International Conference on*, 1990, pp. 61-64.

[8] A. Cruttenden, "Gimson's pronunciation of English," 7th ed: Routledge, 2013, p. 41.

[9] A. Bath, "Robust Speech Recognition via Human Auditory Modeling," Bachelor of Engineering (Electrical) / Bachelor of Science (Computer Science), School of Engineering and Physical Sciences, James Cook University, 2010.

[10] S. Furui, "Recent advances in speaker recognition," in *Audio- and Video-based Biometric Person Authentication*. vol. 1206, J. Bigün, G. Chollet, and G. Borgefors, Eds., 1st ed: Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 1997, pp. 235-252.